

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,251	09/22/2003	Hiroyuki Ibe	242583US-2 RD CONT 9521	
22850 7:	7590 11/10/2004		EXAMINER•	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			SPECTOR, DAVID N	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2873	-
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004 ' *	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/666,251	IBE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David N. Spector	2873			
1	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for F			•			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply iod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
2a)∐ Tr 3)∐ Si	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4a 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI	4) ☐ Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Application	Papers					
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> is/a plicant may not request that any objection to the explacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Ac a)⊠ . 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No. <u>10/232,675</u> . red in this National Stage			
2) Notice of 3) Informati	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) On Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) D(s)/Mail Date 0903: 0604: 0904	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: <u>DETAILED</u>	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims - Objections

Claims 21 and 22 objected to because the phrase "is continuously same value" which appears at the end of each of these claims is ungrammatical and imprecise. Correction is required.

Double Patenting Rejection

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 15, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,643,046 (hereinafter '046). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the following reasons. Claims 21 and 22 of the instant

therefore unpatentable over the '046 patent.

application are identical to claims 1 and 15, respectively, of the '046 patent; except for the substitution (in the instant application) of the phrase "is continuously same value" (Claim 21, Line 7; Claim 22, Lines 7-8) for the phrase "is not varied" used in claims 1 and 15, respectively, of the '046 patent (col. 18, ln. 45; col. 20, ln. 13). Claims 21 and 22 of the instant application are

Other Remarks/Information

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any other inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David N. Spector whose telephone number is (571) 272-2338. The examiner can normally be reached at this number Monday through Friday between 6:00 AM and 2:30 PM. The fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

November 9, 2004

DAVID N. SPECTOR PRIMARY EXAMINER